Sluicing in Jordanian Arabic

In this paper, we analyze such constructions in Jordanian Arabic (JA) as (1).

ma b-a-Sraf $\{\hat{P} > mta/ki: f/la: f/min wen\}$ Sumar it asal, bs not Asp-1-know.IMP call.3ms.PER, but {when, how, why/ from where} 'Omar called, but I do not know {when, how, why, from where}.'

We demonstrate that JA exhibits sluicing and pseudosluicing based on the underlying source of the wh fronting (wh-sluice)/ wh-cleft (wh-pseudosluicing), and then we show that violating Preposition Stranding Generalization (PSG) in sluicing is a PF phenomenon as Sato (2011) proposed for Indonesian and not a violation in the narrow syntax. Our research questions are; i. what is the source of such elliptical constructions in (1)?, ii. what elliptical constructions does the JA exhibit, sluicing vs. pseudosluicing?, and iii. is PSG violation rescued by the resumption strategy?

Like other Arabic dialects, JA exhibits two types of wh-constructions; wh-fronting (2a,2b) and wh-cleft

2) a. bi-?aj затЅа /ke:f / *?emta* daras-t linuistiks in-which university how when study-2ms.PER linguistics At which university/how/when did you study linguistics?

qara?-t kta:b which book read.-2ms.PER

'Which book did you read? Cleft Structure (Eid 1983) c. fu /mi:n (huwe) elli ?axad-o Sumar 3ms.ĆOP what/who pick.3ms.PER-RP that Sumar

'What/who is it that Omar picked?"

Wh-cleft is not as common as wh-fronting; the latter occurs with any wh-expression including wh-words and wh-phrases, wh-PP, and wh-arguments and wh-adjuncts, as shown in (2a, 2b), whereas wh-clefts allow only bare wh-words and wh-arguments as in (2), excluding wh-PP (3a), which-NPs (3b), and wh-adjuncts (4).

3) a.**bi-?aj* elli linuistiks затЅа heiieh daras-t in-which university 3ms.it.COP that study-2ms.PER linguistics

'At which university is it that you studied linguistics?' huwe elli qara?-t 3ms.it.COP that read.-2ms.PER b. *?ai kta:b

which book 'Which book is it that you read?'

*ki:f ir-risaleh huwe elli xallas-t 3ms.it.COP that finish-2ms.PER how the-dissertation

'how is it that you finished the dissertation?'

Accordingly, given that bare wh-expressions (u) what' and mi:n 'who', and wh-adjuncts, wh-PPs, and which-NPS can be used with wh-fronting, then the underlying source of those wh-expressions in elliptical constructions (sluicing) is wh-fronting. Leung (2014) argues that in Emirati Arabic (EA) elliptical constructions, when copula is elided, there is no clear-cut evidence that it is a sluicing or pseudosluicing case. However, we argue that the independent droppability of the copula in (5) indicates that an example with $\int u$ 'what' or mi:n 'who', is plausibly analyzable as pseudosluicing. However, there is an independent evidence that a pseudosluicing analysis doesn't work for the full range of cases (3 and 4).

5) *ma* b-a-Sraf elli (huwe) ſtara-a ħasan 3ms.it.COP not Asp-1-know.IMP that buy.3ms-RP.PER what Hasan 'I do not know what is it that Hasan bought?'

In addition, we argue that JA is another language that shows PSG violation at PF, not at the narrow syntax; it is non-preposition stranding language (6b), yet it allows p-stranding in sluicing (6a).

6) a. Sumar ħaka mas ħada, bs ma b-a-sraf [Sumar haka maS] mi:n talk.3ms.PER with someone, but not Asp-1-know.IMP who Omar 'Omar talked to someone, but I do not know who.'

b. *mi:n ħaka Sumar mas talk.3ms.PER Omar with 'who did Omar talk with?

We also propose that preposition stranding is ungrammatical in the absence of ellipsis (7), and resumption does not rescue wh-movement from the complement of a preposition (7) and thus does not explain the PSG violation.

7) *?aj зат\$а daras-t linuistiks fi-ha which university study-2ms.PER linguistics in-it 'In which university did you study linguistics?

To conclude, the PSG is a PF phenomenon in JA, not part of the narrow syntax. We cannot appeal to pseudosluicing or to a resumption strategy to explain away the PSG violations observed under sluicing in this language.'

References

Eid, Mushira. 1983. The copula function of pronouns. Lingua 59:197-207

Leung, Tommi. 2014. The preposition stranding generalization and conditions on sluicing: Evidence from Emirati Arabic. *Linguistic Inquiry* 45:332-340.

Sato, Yosuke. 2011. P-stranding under sluicing and repair by ellipsis: why is Indonesian (not) special? Journal of East Asian Linguistics 20:339–382.